

**REMARKS**

Please cancel claims 1-36 without prejudice. Claims 37-69 are currently pending in the present Application. The Office Action indicated that claims 1-69 are allowable over the art of record. Applicant is appreciative to the Examiner for the indication of allowable subject matter.

**I. Claim Objections**

The Office Action objected to claims 1-69 on the basis of the use of the “adapted to” phrase. Applicant notes that the objection was not a rejection based on any of the patentability requirements set forth by the U.S. Patent Laws (35 U.S.C. § 1 *et seq.*). As noted above, Applicant has cancelled claims 1-36. In order to move the present Application forward to issuance, Applicant has removed the objected-to phrase from claims 37-69. Removal of the “adapted to” language does not change the scope of the claims and was not made in response to a rejection of patentability.

Per the Examiner’s request, claim 60 has been amended to clarify the acronym “AHP.”

**II. 35 U.S.C. § 101**

The Office Action rejected claims 1-36 under 35 U.S.C. § 101 as being directed to non-statutory subject matter. While Applicant respectfully traverses this basis of rejection, claims 1-36 have been cancelled in order to move the present Application forward to issuance. Applicant respectfully reserves the right to pursue patent protection for claims 1-36 at another time.

Application No. 10/771,709  
Amendment of Mar. 20, 2009  
Office Action of Feb. 20, 2009

**III. Conclusion**

As all outstanding issues have been addressed, favorable action by the Examiner is respectfully requested. The Examiner is invited to call the undersigned in an effort to discuss and resolve any remaining issues.

Respectfully submitted,

NELSON MULLINS RILEY & SCARBOROUGH, LLP.

/s Jeremy C. Whitley/  
Jeremy C. Whitley  
Registration No. 58,775  
ip@nelsonmullins.com  
1320 Main Street | Suite 1700  
Columbia, South Carolina 29201  
Direct.803.255.9764  
Fax.803.255.9831